

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,494	09/08/2003	Ke Liu	C-3065HyS	2027
75	90 02/16/2006		EXAM	INER
		DWARD M		
210 Main Street Manchester, Cl			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				2)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/658,494	LIU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edward M. Johnson	1754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	anuary 2006.		
		action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-7 is/are withdrawn is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔀 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/658,494

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maunula US 2002/0054843 in view of Buchanan et al. US 5,591,417.

Regarding claim 1, Maunula '843 discloses a method for purifying exhaust comprising contacting the exhaust with a unified NOx adsorbent catalyst and particle separator system (see 0012), wherein the system comprises a ceramic having a honeycomb structure (see 0047), and regenerating the system (see 0048 and Example 1).

Maunula fails to disclose regeneration with syngas.

Buchanan '417 discloses regeneration with syngas (see column 7, lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the syngas

Application/Control Number: 10/658,494

Art Unit: 1754

regeneration of Buchanan in the exhaust purifying method of Maunula because Buchanan discloses the syngas regeneration for use in a process for removing NOx (title), which permits a reduction in combustion air and temperature, and improves fuel efficiency and NOx reduction (see column 3, lines 15-21).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The rejection under 35 USC 112(2) has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

It is argued that the requirement to restrict has been fade final. This is not persuasive because the restriction was made final before Applicant's amendment of the claims and also because MPEP 803.01 GUIDELINES specifies an admission regarding the "inventions". However, Applicant merely states that "claims 1 and 2" are obvious variants, not the entire claimed "inventions", as set forth in MPEP 803.01 and which would apply to claims 1-7, not just claims 1-2.

It is argued that since claim 1 has been amended to recite "burn"... perfect analogs. This is not persuasive for the reasons above.

It is argued that claim 1 is rejected as obvious...

(Buchanan). This is not persuasive because Applicant merely

Application/Control Number: 10/658,494

Art Unit: 1754

points out that a disclosure of syngas is missing from Maunula, which has already been pointed out by the Examiner in the non-final rejection. Applicant further points out that Buchanan does not disclose NOx adsorbing material. This is not persuasive because such a disclosure is found in Maunula. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1754

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754